

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/444,488	VANTALON ET AL.	
	Examiner James Sheleheda	Art Unit 2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. This communication is responsive to 09/07/04.
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-18,33,35,36 and 38-42.
3. The drawings filed on _____ are accepted by the Examiner.
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All
 - b) Some*
 - c) None
 of the:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date 05/07/04.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date _____
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
of Biological Material
5. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6. Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date _____.
7. Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. Other _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 1-7 are allowable because the prior art fails to teach or disclose a method for enabling a conditional access module to handle any of a plurality of data transport streams, comprising: qualifying received data bytes according to their positions and values within a data packet; and ***attaching a multi-bit tag to each received data byte***, such tag containing information required for further processing of the byte.

Claims 8-16 are allowable because the prior art fails to teach or disclose a system for enabling a conditional access module to handle any of a plurality of data transport streams, comprising: a qualification mechanism for qualifying received data bytes according to their positions and values within a data packet; ***and a tagging mechanism for applying a multi-bit tag to each received data byte***, such tag containing information required for further processing of the byte.

Claim 17 is allowable because the prior art fails to teach or disclose a mechanism for enabling a conditional access module to handle any of a plurality of data transport streams, comprising: a qualifying mechanism for receiving and qualifying received data bytes according to their positions and values within a data packet; and ***a***

tagging mechanism for assigning a multi-bit tag to each data byte, such tag having a value determined by the results of the qualifying process performed by the qualifying mechanism.

Claim 18 is allowable because the prior art fails to teach or disclose a method for handling any of a plurality of data transport streams, comprising: qualifying received data bytes according to their positions and values; and attaching a tag to **each** qualified data byte.

Claims 33 and 35 are allowable because the prior art fails to teach or disclose a digital receiving system, comprising: an input signal channel for receiving a digital television signal in one of a plurality of digital signal formats;

transmission format converter circuitry for converting the incoming data stream into a transmission format independent set of digital television signals, the converter circuitry further comprising a qualifying mechanism for receiving and qualifying **each** incoming data byte according to its position and value in its plural-byte data packet and further comprising a **tagging mechanism for assigning a plural-bit tag to each data byte**, the tag having a value determined by the results of a data byte qualifying process performed by the qualifying mechanism; and

a digital display mechanism for converting the digital television signals into a visual image, wherein the digital signal receiving channel further comprise signal

processing circuitry for supplying television signals to the display responsive to the tagged data bytes.

Claims 36 and 38 are allowable because the prior art fails to teach or disclose a digital receiving system, comprising:

at least two input signal channels for receiving at least first and second digital signal streams where the first stream carries digital television signals and the second stream carries digital message signals, wherein each data stream is transmitted in one of a plurality of different transmission formats;

transmission format converter circuitry for converting the incoming data stream into a transmission format independent set of signals,

a television display mechanism for converting the format independent television signals into a visual image;

a message processing mechanism for converting the transmission format independent message signals into user perceivable message signals;

a first qualifying mechanism for receiving and qualifying incoming television signal bytes according to their positions and values in their plural-bit data packets;

a first tagging mechanism for assigning a plural-bit tag to each received television signal byte, the television tag having a value determined by the results of a qualifying process performed by the first qualifying mechanism;

first signal processing circuitry for providing television signals to the display mechanism responsive to the tagged bytes;

a second qualifying mechanism for receiving and qualifying incoming message signal bytes according to their positions and values in their plural-bit data packets;

a second tagging mechanism for assigning a plural-bit tag to each received message signal byte, the message tag having a value determined by the results of a qualifying process performed by the first qualifying mechanism;

second signal processing circuitry for providing message signals to the display mechanism responsive to the tagged bytes.

Claim 39 is allowable because the prior art fails to teach or disclose a system for receiving a plurality of different digital data transport stream formats, comprising: a qualifying mechanism for receiving and qualifying incoming data bytes according to their positions and values in their plural-byte data packets; **a tagging mechanism for assigning a plural-bit tag to each data byte**, such tag having a value determined by the results of the qualifying process performed by the qualifying mechanism, and a signal processing mechanism responsive to the tagged data bytes for producing digital information signals.

Claims 40-41 are allowable because the prior art fails to teach or disclose a mechanism for receiving a plurality of different digital data transport stream formats, comprising: a qualifying mechanism for receiving and qualifying incoming data bytes according to their positions and values in their plural-byte data packets, and **a tagging mechanism for assigning a plural-bit tag to each data byte**, such tag having a value

determined by the results of the qualifying process performed by the qualifying mechanism.

Claim 42 is allowable because the prior art fails to teach or disclose a mechanism for receiving a plurality of different digital data transport stream formats wherein the data is conveyed in multi-byte data packets with each packet having a plural-byte header field and a plural-byte payload field, comprising: a first testing mechanism for examining **each** incoming data byte and determining whether the byte is a header byte or a payload byte, **a first tagging mechanism coupled to the first testing mechanism for assigning header byte indicative tags to header field data bytes and payload indicative tags to payload field data bytes**, a second testing mechanism for examining each incoming data byte and determining whether the data is scrambled, **a second tagging mechanism coupled to the second testing mechanism for assigning a scramble condition tag bit to each data byte** and giving such bit one binary value if the data is scrambled and the other binary value if the data is not scrambled, and signal transfer circuitry for transferring each data byte and its assigned tag bits to a data processing mechanism for producing usable digital information.

A background search found similar prior art, however, not completely as claimed.

For example, Williams et al. (6,157,411) discloses a method to analyze and convert a plurality of received transport formats into another “sourceless” format.

Williams et al. fails, however, to specifically disclose qualifying received data bytes and attaching multi-bit tags to each byte.

Temple (EP0880277A2) discloses a receiver which will receive signals in two formats and perform conversion so one only signal type is output. Temple fails, however, to disclose qualifying received data bytes and attaching multi-bit tags to each byte.

Hsing et al. (6,735,310) discloses an encryption system which will qualify and attach multi-bit tags to each received password. Hsing fails, however, to disclose attaching tags to each received byte.

Conclusion

2. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on _____
(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Signature: _____

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) _____ on _____.
(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Signature: _____

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sheleheda whose telephone number is (703) 305-8722. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (703) 305-4795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James Sheleheda
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2614

JS



JOHN MILLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600